

WORK-LIFE BALANCE IN POST GRADUATE STUDIES, MEDIATING EFFECT OF CHILDREN'S ACADEMIC RESPONSIBILITIESFarzana Iftikhar¹, Noreen Tahira*²

Original Article

1. Lecturer in Education, University of Okara, Pakistan
2. Lecturer in Education, University of Okara, Pakistan
Corresponding Email:noreentahira9@gmail.com

Abstract

Issues will collaborate with leadership and faculty to produce exceptional work-life programs to help develop outstanding workers to hold their hands and change. The research provides teacher discussion based on QWL (quality of working life) function, work-life balance, and work joy. Teachers do not agree that their employers give good facilities and versatile hours, to help them in achieving work-life balance. Attaining an exceptional quality of working life for modern educational institutions is an international problem, and Pakistani universities are also facing the issue. According to research, work-life balance is an important parameter of happiness. Stratification in faculty, unequal job allotments, and assigned work time haunt the quality of working life. This article highlights the work-life issues faced by faculty and their effects on their children's academics. In this study 300 faculty members designated experiments as target cases.

Keywords: Job satisfaction, Work -life balance, Academic responsibilities, Quality of work-life (QWL)

Introduction

The incorporated idea of QWL arose from consistent efforts to boost human resources and social human resources. The two-fold theory of work for motivation presented by Putnam authenticates why staff members can be more productive and committed to their institutions (1993). Teachers 'input on QWL and work satisfaction is significantly improved when they work in a good work environment (Arif & Ijaz 2017). The word QWL means adaptability and a good office environment supplied for employees (Afroz, 2017; Arifand Elias, 2013; Jaiswal, 2014). QWL's exceptional qualities, as a whole, refer to a person's perception of work and the workplace, such as encouragement and opportunities for growth. As stated by Rhythm and Ismail (2008), QWL has good feelings of employee improvement. According to a previous study, QWL includes a range of aspects, including worker needs, a good working condition, and accomplishment of organizational aims. Resultantly, QWL is taken as adaptable and effectual forms that change depending on context (Mudrak, 2018; Sirgy et al., 2008).

Since QWL supports are implied to remove the source of bad behavior, they can benefit both academics and university administration (Anderson et al., 2002; Zare et al., 2014). Office issues and administrative work have been improved and changed (Islam, 2012). In a previous study, task complete satisfaction, among the most important qualities of QWL, was connected to satisfaction of the teachers. Staff members show satisfaction and commitment and reduce the probability of turnover (Rahman, 2015). According to research, teachers who are happy with QWL

are much more inventive and productive (Janes & Wisdom, 2010; Singh & Singh, 2015). In addition, the equilibrium between QWLS' good ideas and its job life caused faculty loyalty and participation (Arif & Al-Harbi, 2018). Consequently, many organizations are developing QWL programs to improve their subordinates health, wellness, and wellbeing (Al-Qami, 2016; Chatterjee & Saxena, 2017; Chung & Swewak, 2018; Jabeen et al., 2018).

Many universities across the globe are currently researching to analyze the relationship between QWLS' expected organizational purpose. At Isfahan University, Srivastava and Kanpur (2014) located a complex link between staff member achievement and QWL. Teachers' productiveness is linked to sufficient and decent pay, a safe and good working environment, improved human abilities, and a community service life, according to Pandey and also JHA (2014). Bad working issues, such as high sound issues, limited workstations, and long hours, can badly affect workers both at the workplace and at home (Steen,2002). Likewise, earlier research has found that many faculty members invest 90 per cent of their time inside their homes, in offices, and in class, where the internal air quality is undesirable. In Pakistan, not much is being done. Resultantly, this need should be investigated in the context of Pakistan.

In the early part of life, family affects socialization. According to Datar & Chung (2018) the parent's marital relationship also significantly affects the development of children. Children who saw parental marital conflicts are more likely to adopt risky behavior and develop emotional issues, including internet addiction in teenagers and young adults (Blums et al., 2017). Although parental marital strife is a risk factor for children, less is known about the mechanisms of this association. Computers, video games, and other technological devices are part of the life of many young people. Long-term usage of the Internet and these devices create harmful impacts, such as Internet gaming disorder. Children are mostly endangered because their talent and self-management are inclined to use the internet for a long period to play games and for other activities (Assari et al., 2018).

Social-ecological theories focus on the importance of the social atmosphere during which the kids are concerned while explaining the health inequalities. The family provides the most important atmosphere because it has a large impact on children's health through various characteristics. These integrate family processes, family structure, and living conditions and are closely linked with the socio-economic position (SEP) of the family, though MS is thought to contribute to time of life health conflicts, the results of family characteristics on health differences do not seem to be clear (Datar & Chung 2018).

Statement of the Problem

Higher education's problem and commercialization have altered employees' personal and professional lives. The major goal of research was to discover the work life balance in Post graduate studies and its effects on children' academic responsibilities in Public and Private Universities of Pakistan. Further this Research analysis the link between QWL characteristic that affect WLB (work life balance).

Objectives of the Study

1. To explain work balance in post-graduate studies
2. To find out the mediating effects of children's academic responsibilities

Research Design

Arif and also Alyas motivated the existing research structure. In Lahore, Pakistan; he concentrated on high quality of performing live in private universities. They consider many attributes of high work-life quality that have a meaningful effect on teachers' life and thinking (2013). This research focuses on the work environment, work-life balance, job, worth, scores children's academic responsibilities. Academic performance may benefit from parent workshops and school initiatives that on the role of parents in raising their children. However, before making recommendations, it is important to analyze parents' emotional and psychological consequences. Love-free control has been linked to enhanced schooling.

This study comprises the theme of inequality, as well as organizational cooperation, which is taken as a brand-new direction to expand the research extent. Every one variable credited to QWL is supposed to bring about a problem of work-life balance, leading to job satisfaction. Regarding universities, these techniques enable an explanation of QWL history (Sultan & Wong, 2010). A detail study is the most accurate way of gathering data from a populace sample at a specific time in social scientific research (Amin & ISA, 2018). Faculty from Pakistan's public and private universities comprised the research individuals. The aim was to decrease expenses, increase data and get accurate results (Williams, 2011). The target sample was recognized using collection tasting, considering that they divided the university teachers over the geographical location (university), making simple arbitrarily or methodical testing impossible (Johnson, 2011). Universities were easily chosen and irreversible teacher mentors at the university offered the chance to take part in the research study if they wanted.

The data was collected using an updated variation of the strategy produced by Arif and Ilyas (2013), which categorized 73 products on a five-point Likert scale. Working conditions, travel, Work-life balance, and career, were all researched, making use of Arif and Elia's (2013) devices; High quality of life: Employment, Complete Satisfaction, Employee Well-being, Job Problems and Work Control, Working conditions, traveler, jobs life balance and career. Complete satisfaction, inequality concepts, and viewed organizational support were added to the list. The pilot was not accomplished since the dependability (0.98) and survey correctness were found. Internal uniformity (I. C). Complete reliability (C. R) and also AVE scores were calculated (Fornell and Larker, 1981). The researcher himself produced the questionnaire. Each university got 75 surveys out of 450, with 325 questionnaires returned. Only 300 out of 325 were taken for concluding analysis considering that was finalized properly.

Outcomes

The outcome of the research study is explained in this section. The table shows the demographic after that; Table 2 represents the results of the information confirmation evaluation, the average of the research study variable, standard deviation, alpha, and the extensive dependability (C. R), along with the distinction (AVE). Provide Second, Pearson computers the product-moment correlation to explore the link between the variable in Table 3. Finally, many straight regressions were utilized to recognize the element affecting QWL prediction. Table 4 summarizes the findings.

Table 1. Demographic Distribution of Data

	F	%	M	Mode	SD
Gender					
Male	170	56	1.5	3.2	.48
Female	129	44	1.6	2.2	.40
Position					
Lecturer	157	53	1.7	1.2	.32
Assistant Teacher	84	29	1.2	1.5	.30
Associate Teacher	23	8	1.7	2.1	.43
Teachers	25	8	1.4	1.6	.26
HODs	9	3	1.5	2.2	.35
Qualification					
Masters	16	6	1.1	3	.55
M.Phil	203	67	1.3	2	.45
PhD	881	27	1.2	1.4	.42
Experience					
>5years	117	39	1.9	1	.99
6-10years	115	39	1.8	2	.87
11-15years	44	14	1.6	3	.79
16-20years	16	5	1.7	1.5	.75
<20years	8	3	1.6	1.4	.67

Factor Analysis

General Factor analysis was applied to factorize all the items in the questionnaire that measured QWL, WLB, and other facets of job satisfaction. For all items, principal factoring in component followed by vertex rotation revealed a significant factor loading (about 0.4 or higher) (Costello and Osborne, 2005). Factors met the minimum identification requirements for at least three items for each element (Beavers et al, 2013). The scribbling design revealed that nine factors were responsible for 72.02 per cent of the overall variation (see Table 2). The components contained in the common factors analyzing the research factor are beneficial, according to KMO and Bartlett tests ($KMO=0.712$, $2=12752.533$, $p0.001$). The internal consistency of each sub-scale was assessed using Crobrach Alpha, scored greater than 0.6 for all factors (Wang, 2003).

Table2.Confirmatory Factor Analysis Results

No	Factors	Mean	SD	Alpha	CR	AVE
1	Jobs Satisfaction	24.78	3.18	0.763	0.85	0.505
2	Servicees	27.03	5.16	0.728	0.772	0.680
3	Social Relationships	16.82	4.32	0.665	0.941	0.540
4	Academic Freedom	12.65	8.53	0.662	0.743	0.425
5	Inequality	12.62	2.41	0.642	0.830	0.610
6	Spillover	14.32	1.43	0.753	0.841	0.512
7	Perceived Org .Sup	18.03	3.17	0.943	0.947	0.521
8	Work-life Balance	18.16	4.29	0.668	0.951	0.534
9	Career Satisfaction	40.85	5.97	0.661	0.931	0.598

Analysis of Correlation

Pearson Product-moment was used to determine the relationship between the variables. The vast majority of variables were discovered to be statistically significant and positive. Only the concept of inequality was found to have a poor relationship with other factors.

Table 3. The Matrix of Pearson Product Moment Analysis

	Factors	JD	FISERV	WRLT	ACF	Inequality	Spillover	POS	WLB	CSF
1	Job Design	1	.389**	0.91	.472**	-.259**	0.29	.232**	0.84	0.97
2	Facilities		1	0.102	.463**	0.082	0.55	.372**	0.71	.120*
3	Relationship			1	.237**	-.030	.379**	.323**	.306**	.417**
4	Academics Freedom				2	-.335**	.172**	.578**	.510**	.229**
5	Inequality					2	.108	-.380**	-	.228**
6	Spillover						2	.230**	.434**	.617**
7	Org.Support							2	.481**	.347**
8	Work-Life Balance								1	.581**
9	Career Satisfaction									2

Regression Analysis

Above results was a bit of mishmash. While the other communication values are moderate to weak, there is one significant negative spillover link to professional satisfaction ($r=0.618$, $p<0.01$). To improve the results, a step-by-step procedure was used to apply a series of linear regressions to uncover significant risk factors related to job satisfaction.

Job design, educational flexibility, social relationships, infrastructure, and other amenities were all considered predictive variables, as were satisfaction with inequity, work-life balance and institutional justice. As a result, following six models were developed.

Table 4. Step-wise Regression Analysis on Career Satisfaction as the Dependent Variable

	Model	β	t-value	p-value	Tolerance	VIEW
1	(stable)		6.939	0.000		
	Spillover	.617	13.564	0.000	1.000	1.000
2	(Constant)		3.905	0.00		
	Spillover	.510	10.510	0.000	.813	1.231
	WLB	.249	5.139	0.000	.813	1.231
3	(Constant)		2.048	0.041		
	Spillover	.456	9.204	0.000	.789	1.267
	SocRlt	.178	3.789	0.000	.832	1.202
4	(Constant)		.788	.431		
	Spillover	.472	9.560	0.000	.734	1.363
	WLB	.207	4.337	0.000	.783	1.276
	SocRlt	.163	3.488	0.001	.821	1.219
	SFSERV	.115	2.667	0.008	.972	1.029
5	(Constant)		1.734	0.84		
	Spillover	.501	9.973	0.000	.696	1.436
	WLB	.170	3.435	.001	.716	1.397
	SocRlt	.161	3.465	0.001	.820	1.219
	SFSERV	.120	2.579	.010	.970	1.030
	Inequality	-.123	-2.643	.012	.895	.1.129
6	(Constant)		1.843	0.66		
	Spillover	.512	10.229	0.000	.692	1.445
	WLB	.214	4.110	.000	.635	1.574
	SocRlt	.183	3.911	0.000	.791	1.265
	SFSERV	.154	3.366	.001	.829	1.206
	Inequality	-.151	-3.251	.001	.797	1.254
	PDS	-.140	-2.519	-.012	.563	1.777

Discussion

The relationship between QOL and perspectives was explored to evaluate the quality of the work-life of University teachers. The results appeared that QWL characteristics are emphatically related to WLB and occupation bliss (Hamid et al., 2018; Rehman, 2015; Singh & Singh, 2015; Tschopp et al. al., 2014). Concurring to the discoveries of this investigate consider, Pakistani Universities are as it were concerned with creating health-related aspects. WLB isn't hampered by work plan components such as salary, work, or workplace. The system, administrations, and social contacts were all palatable to the faculty. Inequality and extension, on the other hand, avoid the presence of stimuli. As a result, instead of total satisfaction and motivation, the circumstance is best portrayed as discontent (Arif, Elias, & Hamid, 2013; 2017). Agreeing to Shin and Jung (2014), proficiency observing criteria are utilized within the advancement of advancements and in industry changes managing with lower measures, causing anxiety. Do. The college has evolved into a trade that's driven by request and tension. Job values have ended up troublesome to appreciate. Because of inefficiency and gracious freedoms, these are not the finest assignments for the foremost meriting people. Will this result in a deficiency of educates, a plan made by the worldwide showcase, and expanded advertise competitors?

Cogin (2012) recollects that the way of life at any institution has worldwide appeal. Asian social orders are still display in their human guidelines. Most bosses and work force respect the issue of work and individual life as a given and accept that each representative ought to do work to his or her choice. Sort out this problem. As a result, pioneers were incapable to work together to move to oversight. Work-life adjusts and quality of life is considered extravagances instead of necessities; in this way, satisfaction with these requests isn't required for a business. According to Baruch (2006), inquire about think about of QWL and energy for work must result in investigate on the organization between wide and comprehensive perspectives. Choosing the suitable mode of communication will without a doubt move forward understanding of the different measures of QWL and work environment delight (Srivastava & Kanpur, 2014). This overview addresses different issues related to QWL as well as the rate of intrigued in business among open and private instructors in Pakistan. . It moreover helps the college community and administration in approach change and the creation of modern occupations that are custom fitted to the lives of workers within the twenty-first century. Governance and organization adaptations based on the Scandinavian show require progression and genuine alter (Mohan & Suppareakchaisakul, 2014). The organization of colleges will without a doubt find a profound concern for the improvement of their staff, particularly for the choices made after various choices. As a result, colleges in Pakistan, whether open or private, require more help to address issues such as site crises, troublesome times, and staffing. The purpose of the trade isn't to progress execution but to supply work fulfillment. The human body's duty at a college is to form a competitive career stepping stool for its workers, and administration must give support to all of the organizations to climb the stepping stool to the top. The objective of college organization is to move the physical nature of the job whereas too contributing within the mental wellbeing of their employees. According to administration master Mintzberg (1983, p. 180), "people still crave cheap, high-quality items and administrations a few errands proceed to be less than presently."

Conclusion

The discoveries demonstrate that understanding of work fulfillment is related to V. /LB, inferring that work fulfillment will without a doubt be lower unless WLB is completed. As a result, inside and out-of-work callings, as well as a family work, are the foremost critical factors contributing to contrasts in WLB and energy for efficiency. According to Rho appraisals, discernments of disparity are contrarily and altogether related to WLB, which in a roundabout way contributes to diminish in assignment satisfaction. It suggests that unequal remunerate conveyance contains a negative effect on fulfillment with seen authoritative help, assignment format, and scholastic freedom. It too undermines recognitions of QWL by debilitating the interface between all of these factors and WLB.

Teachers' discernments of QWL at Lahore-based colleges are bleak. Instructors' work fulfillment will not make strides unless colleges actualize approaches to bargain with negative spillovers and make strides work-life balance. Universities have been moderate to perceive the significance of making and publicizing a family-friendly workplace. Some administration lurching pieces are thought to avoid information bosses from getting to be information leaders. The envisioned entrepreneurial colleges will not be actually realized until regulatory administration wins, severing researchers' wings and preventing them from flying high and reaching the skies of their dreams.

Families are an imperative social environment for empowering and advancing children's sound development. The compiled examination sheds light on the part of family characteristics within the relationship between family and newborn child wellbeing aberrations in high-income countries. The thinks about in address were inadequately in measure or scope to survey the effect of control and intercession on family forms, family structure / measure, and living conditions comprehensively.

The biological social point of view on the setting, as well as the component of interaction between person wellbeing, is backed by a little parcel of the known prove. Hence, open wellbeing measures pointed at diminishing HIV and advancing children's wellbeing may gotten to be more commonsense once they address children's family circumstances, as well as their assets (e.g., human capital) and environment (e.g., mull over space hardship).

References

- Afroz, S. (2017). Quality of work life: A conceptual model. *Advances in Economics and Business Management*, 4(8), 570-578.
- Aguenza, B. B., & Som, A. P. M. (2018). Motivational factors of employee retention and engagement in organizations. *International Journal of Advances in Management and Economics*, 1(6), 88-95.
- Alfonso, L., Zenasni, F., Hodzic, S., & Ripoll, P. (2016). Understanding the mediating role of quality of work-life on the relationship between emotional intelligence and organizational citizenship behaviours. *Psychological Reports*, 118(1), 107-127.
- Allameh, S. M., Hosseini, S. H., Mahabadi, M. N., & Samadi, A. (2018). The effect of psychological capital on quality of life, given the mediating role of social capital and quality of work life. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, 17(2), 210-225.
- Almuftah, H., & Lafi, H. (2011). Impact of QWL on employee satisfaction case of oil and gas industry in Qatar. *Advances in management and applied economics*, 1(2), 107-134.
- Alqarni, S. A. Y. (2016). Quality of work-life as a predictor of work engagement among the teaching faculty at King Abdulaziz University. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 6(8), 118-135.
- Amin, M., & Isa, Z. (2008). Examining the relationship between service quality perception and customer satisfaction: A SEM approach towards Malaysian Islamic Banking. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 1(3), 191-209.
- Anderson, D. M., Morgan, B. L., & Wilson, J. B. (2002). Perceptions of family-friendly policies: University versus corporate employees. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 23(1), 73-92.
- Antonio, P., Gutierrez de Blume, A. P., & Geor, S. (2018). Perceptions of teaching, research, service expertise, workload, organizational support, and satisfaction among U.S. faculty members' intent to stay in tier 1 or 2 organizations: A structural equation model. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 17(4), 1-27.
- Arif, B., & Farooqi, Y. A. (2014). Impact of work-life balance on job satisfaction and organizational commitment among university teachers: A case study of university of Gujrat, Pakistan. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Engineering*, 5(9), 24-29.
- Arif, S., Ejaz, A., & Yousaf, N. (2017). Career aspirations and opportunity for FWAS: Perceptions of Pakistani women. *Journal of Management and Research*, 4(1), 59-76.
- Arif, S., & Alharbi, E. (2018). Leadership governance and faculty engagement in universities of Kingdom of Saudia Arabia. *The 2nd World Conference on Education*, Institute of Academic Researchers, Sri Lanka.
- Arif, S., & Ilyas, M., (2013). Quality of work-life model for teachers of private universities in Pakistan. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 21(3), 282-298.

- Arif, S., Ilyas, M., & Hameed, A. (2013). Student satisfaction with services in private universities of Pakistan: The impact of leadership. *Total Quality Management*, 25(4), 399-416.
- Arif, S., Ilyas, M., & Hameed, A. (2017). Using Structure Equation Modeling to construct student satisfaction models for private universities of Pakistan. *Journal of Quality and Technology Management*, 14(2), 39-53.
- Barnett, B. R., & Bradley, L. (2007). The impact of organizational support for career development on career satisfaction. *Career Development International*, 12(7), 617-636.
- Baruch, Y. (2006). Career development in organizations and beyond: Balancing traditional and contemporary viewpoints. *Human Resource Management Review*, 16, 125-138.
- Beavers, A. S., Lounsbury, J. W., Richards, J. K., Huck, S. W., Skolits, G. J., & Esquivel, S. L. (2013). Practical considerations for using exploratory factor analysis in educational research. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 18, 1-13.
- Bravo, J., Seibert, S. E., Kraimer, M. L., Wayne, S. J., & Robert, C. L. (2017). Measuring career orientations in the era of the boundary-less career. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 25, 502-525.
- Carr, S. C., Parker, J., Arrowsmith, J., Haar, J., & Jones, H. (2017). Humanistic management and living wages: A case of compelling connections? *Humanist Management Journal*, 1, 215-236.
- Chaturvedi, S. K., & Saxena, H. M. (2017). A study on quality of work-life in management institutions concerning Lucknow city, Uttar Pradesh. *International Journal of Management & Social Science*, 68, 77-93.
- Ching, P. L., & Seok, C. B. (2018). Challenge-hindrance appraisal job and work-life balance among teachers: A conceptual paper. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(3), 104-116.
- Mohan, K. P., & Suppareakchaisakul, N. (2014). Psychosocial correlates of the quality of work-life among university teachers in Thailand and Malaysia. *International Journal of Behavioral Science*, 9(2), 1-16.
- Mudrak, J., Zabrodska, K., Kveton, P., Blatny, M., Solcova, I., & Machovcova, K. (2018). Occupational wellbeing among university faculty: A job demands-resources model. *Research in Higher Education*, 59, 325-348.
- Mukhtar, F. (2012). *Work-life balance and job satisfaction among faculty at Iowa State University* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Iowa State University, USA.
- Myers, G. T. (2011). Research methodology by numbers – a teaching tool. *The Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*, 9(1), 66-77.
- Pandey, A., & Jha, B. K. (2014). Review and redefine the quality of work-life for higher education. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 14(11), 55-71.
- Putnam, R. D. (1993). Bowling alone: America's declining social capital. *Journal of Democracy*, 6, 65-78.
- Rahman, W. (2015). Interrelationship of employee development, organizational commitment, job satisfaction and their impact on turnover intentions. *City University Research Journal*, 5(2), 55-77.

- Rethinam, G. S., & Ismail, M. (2008). Constructs of quality of work-life: A perspective of information and technology professionals. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 7(1), 58-70.
- Rose, R. C., & Naresh, K. (2006). Get educators to absorb the power of HRD in moulding quality education: Performance improvement. *Silver Spring*, 45(9), 32-37.
- Szelényi, K., & Denson, N. (2019). Personal and institutional predictors of work-life balance among women and men faculty of colour. *The Review of Higher Education*, 43(2), 633-665.
- Singh, K. S., & Singh, O. P. (2015). Quality of work life of teachers working in higher educational institutions: A strategic approach towards teacher's excellence. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Management Studies Research*, 3(9), 180-186.
- Sirgy, M.J., Efraty, D., Siegel, P., & Lee, D. J. (2001). A new measure of the quality of work-life (QWL) based on need satisfaction and spillover theories. *Social Indicators Research*, 55(3), 241-302.